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### 8.1 Introduction

## Noisy Communication

- most forms of communication are "noisy"
- humans: acoustic noise, unclear pronunciation, misunderstanding, foreign languages
- How do humans cope with that?
- slow down and/or speak up
- ask to repeat if necessary
- But how is possible (for us)

to decode a message in the presence of noise \& errors?
Bcaesue it semes taht ntaurul lanaguge has a lots fo redundancy bilt itno it!
$\leadsto$ We can

1. detect errors "This sentence has aao pi dgsdho gioasghds."
2. correct (some) errors "Tiny errs ar corrrected automaticly." (sometimes too eagerly as in the Chinese Whispers / Telephone)


## Noisy Channels

- computers: copper cables \& electromagnetic interference
- transmit a binary string
- but occasionally bits can "flip"
$\rightsquigarrow$ want a robust code

- We can aim at

1. error detection
2. error correction
$\rightsquigarrow$ can request a re-transmit
$\rightsquigarrow$ avoid re-transmit for common types of errors

- This will require redundancy: sending more bits than plain message
$\rightsquigarrow$ goal: robust code with lowest redundancy that's the opposite of compression!
8.2 Lower Bounds


## Block codes

- model:
- want to send message $S \in\{0,1\}^{\star}$ (bitstream) across a (communication) channel
- any bit transmitted through the channel might flip (0 $\rightarrow 1$ resp. $1 \rightarrow 0$ ) no other errors occur (no bits lost, duplicated, inserted, etc.)
- instead of $S$, we send encoded bitstream $C \in\{0,1\}^{\star}$ sender encodes $S$ to $C$, receiver decodes $C$ to $S$ (hopefully)
$\rightsquigarrow$ what errors can be detected and/or corrected?
- all codes discussed here are block codes
- divide $S$ into messages $m \in\{0,1\}^{k}$ of $k$ bits each $\quad(k=$ message length $)$
- encode each message (separately) as $C(m) \in\{0,1\}^{n} \quad(n=$ block length, $n \geq k)$
$\rightsquigarrow$ can analyze everything block-wise
- between 0 and $n$ bits might be flipped
- how many flipped bits can we definitely detect?
- how many flipped bits can we correct without retransmit?
i. e. decoding $m$ still possible


## Code distance

$$
\swarrow^{m \neq m^{\prime}} \Longrightarrow C(m) \neq C\left(m^{\prime}\right)
$$

- each block code is an injective function $C:\{0,1\}^{k} \rightarrow\{0,1\}^{n}$
- define $\mathcal{C}=$ set of all codewords $=C\left(\{0,1\}^{k}\right)$
$\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{C} \subseteq\{0,1\}^{n}$
$|\mathrm{C}|=2^{k}$ out of $2^{n} n$-bit strings are valid codewords
- decoding = finding closest valid codeword
- distance of code:
$d=$ minimal Hamming distance of any two codewords $=\min _{x, y \in \mathrm{C}} d_{H}(x, y)$


## Implications for codes

1. need distance $d$ to detect errors flipping up to $d-1$ bits
2. need distance $d$ to correct errors flipping up to $\left\lfloor\frac{d-1}{2}\right\rfloor$ bits

## Lower Bounds

- Main advantage of concept of code distance: can prove lower bounds on block length
- Singleton bound: $\quad 2^{k} \leq 2^{n-(d-1)} \rightsquigarrow n \geq k+d-1$
- proof sketch: We have $2^{k}$ codeswords with distance $d$ after deleting the first $d-1$ bits, all are still distinct but there are only $2^{n-(d-1)}$ such shorter bitstrings.
- Hamming bound: $2^{k} \leq \frac{2^{n}}{\sum_{f=0}^{\lfloor(d-1) / 2\rfloor}\binom{n}{f}}$
- proof idea: consider "balls" of bitstrings around codewords count bitstrings with Hamming-distance $\leq t=\lfloor(d-1) / 2\rfloor$ correcting $t$ errors means all these balls are disjoint so $2^{k} \cdot$ ball size $\leq 2^{n}$
$\rightsquigarrow$ We will come back to these.
8.3 Hamming Codes


## Parity Bit

- simplest possible error-detecting code: add a parity bit

$\rightsquigarrow$ code distance 2
- can detect any single-bit error (actually, any odd number of flipped bits)
- used in many hardware (communication) protocols
- PCI buses, serial buses
- caches
- early forms of main memory

$\Omega$
very simple and cheap

4
cannot correct any errors

## Error-correcting codes

- typical application: heavy-duty server RAM
- bits can randomly flip (e.g., by cosmic rays)
- individually very unlikely, but in always-on server with lots of RAM, it happens!
https://blogs.oracle.com/linux/attack-of-the-cosmic-rays-v2


Can we correct a bit error without knowing where it occurred? How?

- Yes! store every bit three times!
- upon read, do majority vote
- if only one bit flipped, the other two (correct) will still win
 triples the cost!


Can do it with $11 \%$ extra memory!

## How to locate errors?

- Idea: Use several parity bits
- each covers a subset of bits
- clever subsets $\rightsquigarrow$ violated/valid parity bit pattern narrows down error
\ flipped bit can be one of the parity bits!
- Consider $n=7$ bits $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{7}$ with the following constraints:



## Observe:

- No error (all 7 bits correct) $\rightsquigarrow C=C_{2} C_{1} C_{0}=000_{2}=0$
- What happens if (exactly) 1 bit, say $B_{i}$ flips?
$C_{j}=1$ iff $j$ th bit in binary representation of $i$ is $1 \leadsto C$ encodes position of error!


## 4+3 Hamming Code

- How can we turn this into a code?

- $B_{4}, B_{2}$ and $B_{1}$ occur only in one constraint each $\rightsquigarrow$ define them based on rest!
- $4+3$ Hamming Code - Encoding

1. Given: message $D_{3} D_{2} D_{1} D_{0}$ of length $k=4$
2. copy $D_{3} D_{2} D_{1} D_{0}$ to $B_{7} B_{6} B_{5} B_{3}$
3. compute $P_{2} P_{1} P_{0}=B_{4} B_{2} B_{1}$ so that $C=0$
4. send $D_{3} D_{2} D_{1} P_{2} D_{0} P_{1} P_{0}$

## 4+3 Hamming Code - Decoding

- 4+3 Hamming Code - Decoding

1. Given: block $B_{7} B_{6} B_{5} B_{4} B_{3} B_{2} B_{1}$ of length $n=7$
2. compute $C$ (as above)
3. if $C=0$ no (detectable) error occurred
otherwise, flip $B_{C}$ (the $C$ th bit was twisted)
4. return 4-bit message $B_{7} B_{6} B_{5} B_{3}$

- Properties
- can correct any 1-bit error
- How about 2-bit errors?
- We can detect that something went wrong.
- But: above decoder mistakes it for a (different!) 1-bit error and "corrects" that


## Hamming Codes - General recipe

- above construction can be generalized:
- Start with $n=2^{\ell}-1$ bits for $\ell \in \mathbb{N} \quad$ (we had $\ell=3$ )
- use the $\ell$ bits whose index is a power of 2 as parity bits
- the other $n-\ell$ are data bits
- Choosing $\ell=7$ we can encode entire word of memory ( 64 bit ) with $11 \%$ overhead (using only 64 out of the 120 possible data bits)

0
simple and efficient coding / decoding
0
fairly space-efficient

