

APPLIED ALGORITHMS \$ APPLIED  
APPLIED ALGORITHMS \$  
CS \$ APPLIED ALGORITHMI  
DALGORITHMS \$ APPLIE  
ED ALGORITHMS \$ APPLI  
GORITHMICS \$ APPLIEDAL  
HMICS \$ APPLIEDALGORIT  
ICS \$ APPLIEDALGORIT  
IEDALGORITHMS \$ APPL  
ITMICS \$ APPLIEDALGOR  
LGDALGORITHMS \$ APPLIE  
MICS \$ APPLIEDALGORIT  
ORITHMICS \$ APPLIE  
PLIEDALGORITHMS \$ AP  
PPLIEDALGORITHMS \$ A  
RITHMICS \$ APPLIEDALGO  
S \$ APPLIEDALGORIT  
THMICS \$ APPLIEDALGORI

O

# Proof Techniques

10 February 2021

Sebastian Wild

# Outline

## 0 Proof Techniques

- 0.1 Proof Templates
- 0.2 Mathematical Induction
- 0.3 Correctness Proofs

# What is a *formal* proof?

A formal proof (in a logical system) is a **sequence of statements** such that each statement

1. is an *axiom* (of the logical system), OR
2. follows from previous statements using the *inference rules* (of the logical system).

Among experts: Suffices to *convince a human* that a formal proof *exists*.

But: Use formal logic as guidance against faulty reasoning.  $\rightsquigarrow$  bulletproof



## Notation:

- ▶ Statements:  $A \equiv$  "it rains",  $B \equiv$  "the street is wet".
- ▶ Negation:  $\neg A$  "Not A."
- ▶ And/Or:  $A \wedge B$  "A and B";  $A \vee B$  "A or B or both."
- ▶ Implication:  $A \Rightarrow B$  "If A, then B."
- ▶ Equivalence:  $A \Leftrightarrow B$  "A holds true *if and only if* ('iff') B holds true."

## **0.1 Proof Templates**

# Implications

To prove  $A \Rightarrow B$ , we can

- ▶ directly derive  $B$  from  $A$       *direct proof*
- ▶ prove  $(\neg B) \Rightarrow (\neg A)$       *indirect proof, proof by contraposition*
- ▶ assume  $A \wedge \neg B$  and derive a contradiction      *proof by contradiction, reductio ad absurdum*
- ▶ distinguish cases, i. e., separately prove  
 $(A \wedge C) \Rightarrow B$  and  $(A \wedge \neg C) \Rightarrow B$ .      *proof by exhaustive case distinction*

# Equivalences

To prove  $A \Leftrightarrow B$ ,  
we prove both implications  $A \Rightarrow B$  and  $B \Rightarrow A$  separately.  
(Often, one direction is much easier than the other.)

## Set Inclusion and Equality

To prove that a set  $S$  contains a set  $R$ , i. e.,  $R \subseteq S$ , we prove the implication  $x \in R \Rightarrow x \in S$ .

To prove that two sets  $S$  and  $R$  are equal,  $S = R$ , we prove both inclusions,  $S \subseteq R$  and  $R \subseteq S$  separately.

## **0.2 Mathematical Induction**



# Quantified Statements

## Notation

- ▶ Statements with parameters:  $A(x) \equiv$  “ $x$  is an even number.”
- ▶ Existential quantifiers:  $\exists x : A(x)$       “There exists some  $x$ , so that  $A(x)$ .”
- ▶ Universal quantifiers:  $\forall x : A(x)$       “For all  $x$  it holds that  $A(x)$ .”

Note:  $\forall x : A(x)$  is equivalent to  $\neg \exists x : \neg A(x)$

Quantifiers can be nested, e. g.,  $\varepsilon$ - $\delta$ -criterion for limits:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \xi} f(x) = a \quad :\Leftrightarrow \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 : (|x - \xi| < \delta) \Rightarrow |f(x) - a| < \varepsilon.$$


To prove  $\exists x : A(x)$ , we simply list an example  $\xi$  such that  $A(\xi)$  is true.

# For-all statements

To prove  $\forall x : A(x)$ , we can

- ▶ derive  $A(x)$  for an “*arbitrary but fixed value of  $x$* ”, or,
- ▶ for  $x \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , use **induction**, i. e.,
  - ▶ prove  $A(0)$ ,      *induction basis*, and
  - ▶ prove  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : A(n) \Rightarrow A(n + 1)$       *inductive step*

More general variants of induction:

- ▶ complete/strong induction  
inductive step shows  $(A(0) \wedge \dots \wedge A(n)) \Rightarrow A(n + 1)$
- ▶ structural/transfinite induction  
works on any *well-ordered* set, e. g., binary trees, graphs, Boolean formulas, strings, ...  
  
no infinite strictly decreasing chains

## 0.3 Correctness Proofs

# Formal verification

► verification: prove that a program computes the correct result

↪ **not** our focus in COMP 526

but some techniques are useful for *reasoning* about algorithms

Here:

1. Prove that loop or recursive call eventually *terminates*.
2. Prove that a *loop* computes the *correct* result.

# Proving termination

To prove that a recursive procedure  $\text{proc}(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  eventually terminates, we

- ▶ define a *potential*  $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{N}_0$  of the parameters  
(Note:  $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_m) \geq 0$  by definition!)
- ▶ prove that every recursive call decreases the potential, i. e.,  
any recursive call  $\text{proc}(y_1, \dots, y_m)$  inside  $\text{proc}(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  satisfies

$$\Phi(y_1, \dots, y_m) < \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_m)$$

↪  $\text{proc}(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  terminates because  
we can only strictly *decrease* the (integral!) potential a *finite* number of times from its initial value

- ▶ Can use same idea for a loop: show that potential decreases in each iteration.  
↪ see tutorials for an example.

# Loop invariants

**Goal:** Prove that a *post condition* holds after execution of a (terminating) loop.

---

```
1 // (A) before loop
2 while cond do
3   // (B) before body
4   body
5   // (C) after body
6 end while
7 // (D) after loop
```

---

For that, we

- ▶ find a *loop invariant*  $I$  (that's the tough part!)
- ▶ prove that  $I$  holds at (A)
- ▶ prove that  $I \wedge \textit{cond}$  at (B) imply  $I$  at (C)
- ▶ prove that  $I \wedge \neg \textit{cond}$  imply the desired post condition at (D)

Note:  $I$  holds before, during, and after the loop execution, hence the name.

## Loop invariant – Example

► loop condition:  $cond \equiv i < n$

► post condition (after line 9):

$$curMax = \max_{k \in [0..n-1]} A[k]$$

► loop invariant:

$$I \equiv curMax = \max_{k \in [0..i-1]} A[k] \wedge i \leq n$$

We have to prove:

- (i)  $I$  holds at (A)
- (ii)  $I \wedge cond$  at (B)  $\Rightarrow I$  at (C)
- (iii)  $I \wedge \neg cond \Rightarrow$  post condition

---

```
1 procedure arrayMax( $A, n$ )
2   // input: array of  $n$  elements,  $n \geq 1$ 
3   // output: the maximum element in  $A[0..n - 1]$ 
4    $curMax := A[0]; i = 1$ 
5   // (A)
6   while  $i < n$  do
7     // (B)
8     if  $A[i] > curMax$ 
9        $curMax := A[i]$ 
10     $i := i + 1$ 
11    // (C)
12  end while
13  // (D)
14  return  $curMax$ 
```

---