




Survey Results

Legend


Question text




1. Standard Questions about this module

1.1) The module was delivered in an appropriate way	Strongly Disagree	4.7%	2.3%	9.3%	53.5%	30.2%	Strongly Agree	n=43 av.=4 dev.=1
1.2) I received the academic support I needed for this module	Strongly Disagree	4.5%	0%	4.5%	61.4%	29.5%	Strongly Agree	n=44 av.=4.1 dev.=0.9
1.3) The module gave me a good understanding of the subject	Strongly Disagree	4.7%	0%	11.6%	60.5%	23.3%	Strongly Agree	n=43 av.=4 dev.=0.9
1.4) The module was well organised and ran smoothly	Strongly Disagree	4.5%	2.3%	11.4%	52.3%	29.5%	Strongly Agree	n=44 av.=4 dev.=1
1.5) I had access to essential resources when I needed them for the module	Strongly Disagree	4.5%	2.3%	15.9%	50%	27.3%	Strongly Agree	n=44 av.=3.9 dev.=1
1.6) The module assessments so far were a fair test of the module learning outcomes	Strongly Disagree	4.5%	4.5%	13.6%	54.5%	22.7%	Strongly Agree	n=44 av.=3.9 dev.=1
1.7) The marking criteria for each assessment were made clear (in advance)	Strongly Disagree	6.8%	2.3%	13.6%	52.3%	25%	Strongly Agree	n=44 av.=3.9 dev.=1
1.8) The marking was unbiased	Strongly Disagree	4.5%	2.3%	15.9%	43.2%	34.1%	Strongly Agree	n=44 av.=4 dev.=1
1.9) Feedback on my work has been prompt	Strongly Disagree	4.7%	0%	7%	62.8%	25.6%	Strongly Agree	n=43 av.=4 dev.=0.9

1.10) I have received detailed comments on my work



1.11) Feedback on my work helped me clarify things I did not understand



Profile

Subunit: SCE - COMPSCI [School Of EEE - Computer Science]

Name of the instructor: Dr Sebastian Wild

Name of the course: APPLIED ALGORITHMICS
(Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Standard Questions about this module

Question	Strongly Disagree				Strongly Agree	n	av.	md	dev.
1.1) The module was delivered in an appropriate way						n=43	av.=4.0	md=4.0	dev.=1.0
1.2) I received the academic support I needed for this module						n=44	av.=4.1	md=4.0	dev.=0.9
1.3) The module gave me a good understanding of the subject						n=43	av.=4.0	md=4.0	dev.=0.9
1.4) The module was well organised and ran smoothly						n=44	av.=4.0	md=4.0	dev.=1.0
1.5) I had access to essential resources when I needed them for the module						n=44	av.=3.9	md=4.0	dev.=1.0
1.6) The module assessments so far were a fair test of the module learning outcomes						n=44	av.=3.9	md=4.0	dev.=1.0
1.7) The marking criteria for each assessment were made clear (in advance)						n=44	av.=3.9	md=4.0	dev.=1.0
1.8) The marking was unbiased						n=44	av.=4.0	md=4.0	dev.=1.0
1.9) Feedback on my work has been prompt						n=43	av.=4.0	md=4.0	dev.=0.9
1.10) I have received detailed comments on my work						n=43	av.=4.0	md=4.0	dev.=1.0
1.11) Feedback on my work helped me clarify things I did not understand						n=44	av.=3.8	md=4.0	dev.=1.0

Comments Report

1. Standard Questions about this module

^{1,12)} Please provide comments to explain or clarify your ratings above. Comments may be related to teaching delivery, module organisation, coursework or anything else which would help us to improve the module for the next session. Please be as concise as possible.

- Amazing lecturer, very engaging and very funny. Making is lectures fun to attend
- Good module and well organized. The teachers are good and they want us to understand the material.
- Hopefully we can make better use of the Canvas .
- I felt Courseworks are not fully related to the modules taught. Though the courseworks are challenging i felt it can be closely related to the modules.
- I think Sebastian has been a great teacher. He is kind and enthusiastic. He explains complicated topics in detail and presents ideas visually and intuitively.
- I've had no problems with this module and so far have enjoyed it greatly.
- Not quiet unsatisfied to say
- Students from non computer science background find it a bit difficult to understand all the algorithms and the 2 assignments are a bit out off the box for those people. The way of teaching is good. The slido questions and the small classtests really encourage students to be part of the module. Please continue those in the future as well.
- Teaching was clear and accessible through several different means
- The assessment for this module is a joke. 11 separate assesements, even if some of them are small is quite stressful, and the participation mark is condescending and doesn't come with a clear grading scheme. I get what Sebastian is trying to do with this module, and I think if we were in school it would be an excellent course, but at the postgrad level we all have jobs and many other obligations, and this style of delivery clashes poorly with that.
- The lectures were engaging with the use of Slido. Being 90 minutes long however made it hard to concentrate for the whole time. The programming puzzles seemed somewhat unrelated to the lecture contents. The class tests questions ,however, did help with testing understanding.
- The subject matter of the continuous assessments seemed tangential (or even unrelated) to the taught material.
- The videos recorded for each topic are of high quality and prove to be a great resource. Many thanks to Sebastian!
- This module was a little difficult to keep up with because of how advanced it seemed to someone very new to algorithmic study
- courseworks could have more than one time attempting
- good
- its good
- very good

1.13) Please list up to three ways in which the module could be improved

- - Drop the class tests and participation marks. 3 courseworks and an exam is plenty.
- -Continuous assessments to be more linked to the taught material
- 1. better explanation for the assessments
2. better application of this module
- 3 one hour lectures, rather than 2 ninety minutes lectures.
The programming puzzles could be more relevant to the theory taught.
- A good module.
- Class tests only provide one submission opportunity, we cannot modify our answers
- I think one way the module could be improved is by providing more real world example of how certain algorithms / methods of working can be used. This is done to a good extent already but I think it would have been helpful for my understanding to do this more often while explaining how algorithms work, specifically.
- I would perhaps have more worked examples in lectures
- Not quiet unsatisfied to say
- Other things are fine by me, except
1) Courseworks can be changed.
- Some more in depth feedback could be given on the assignments
- the best teacher ever before

2. Additional Questions about this module

2.1) Please list up to three things that you liked about this module.

- -Concepts well explained with good use of examples
-The fact that 50% of the grade comes from assessments (and thus is spread throughout the semester) helps take pressure off of exams. (This is something that would be a flaw if most modules did it, but is a good thing when only few do it).
- 1) Class test is very good.
2) modules are well organized.
3) slido interaction is also good.
- 1. Class content is rich and careful
2. Have many practice opportunities
3. The professor's reply is timely and usefully
- 1. the professor took a proper interest in delivering the module
2. the class tests were fun
- 1.A website prepared for the course.
2.Good feedback from tutorial.
3.Nice marterials.
- Access to information is convenient, there is a clear platform for us to retrieve information, the teacher spoke very well
- Broad range of topics included, Fun assignments, fun lectures
- Delivery was clear and easy to understand.
Tutorials were made available and explained very well.
The content was fun and useful to my future in industry.
- I enjoyed the time given to optimise the assignments
- Not unsatisfied to say
- Presentation/way of teaching,
Structure,
Topic breakdown
- Sebastian is a good teacher
- The assignments are the one who helped us to research about the modules more and work on them .
- The content is interesting, and the quality of the lectures and online resources is extremely high. This is a very well delivered course, outside of the assesement.
- The lecturer, the varied ways to interact and the varied assignments
- The slides were very good
The topics were relevant and interesting
- The slido interaction during the lecture.
The class tests in the canvas.
- The teachers, the transparency and the constant assessments throughout the module.
- The use of slido.
The class test questions.
- Video Recording and campuswire
- good techer